UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,431	02/29/2008	Morio Onoe	64719(51379)	6303
	7590 10/08/200 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 55874			DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/563,431	ONOE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas M. Dougherty	2837			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 January 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of formal 10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :2/4/09, 9/25/08, 8/7/08(2 of this date), 2/16/06.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koyama (JP 5-243890). Koyama shows (fig. 1) an SC cut crystal resonator, wherein a surface of a quartz crystal orthogonal to a Y axis thereof is rotated through 33° to 35° about an X axis (see CONSTITUTION), and is then rotated from this rotated position through 22° to 24° about a Z axis (see CONSTITUTION), and a slender quartz crystal blank (11) oblong (note that cut is similar to Applicant's) in an X' axis direction is cut from the rotated surface, and an end surface of said quartz crystal blank orthogonal to a Z' axis, has a tilt angle tilted in a direction rotated through a predetermined angle about the X' axis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyama (JP 5-243890) in view or routine skill in the art. Given the invention of Koyama as noted above he does not state that his tilt angle is between +7° and +13°,-7° and -13°, -9° and -11°, or, +9° and +11°.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ the claimed angles in the device of Koyama at the time of his invention since he does not Application/Control Number: 10/563,431 Page 3

Art Unit: 2837

note any limitation on the cut angle and because it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior at, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining prior art cited reads on some aspects of the claimed invention.

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

/T. M. D./ /Thomas M. Dougherty/

tmd Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2834

April 13, 2009